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I. Call to order
   A. Meeting called to order at 7:07 PM

II. Reading and approval of minutes
   A. Senator Geschwind moves to amend the minutes from “11-0-0” to “10-1-0”
   B. Senator Sherman moves to approve the minutes
   C. Senator Kohanteb seconds
   D. Minutes approved as read

III. Approval of the agenda
   A. Senator Murphy moves to amend the agenda to include item (c) Norman Topping Update under Presentations
   B. Senator Lane seconds
   C. Voting
      1. Amendment approved as amended unanimously (9-0-0)
   D. Voting
      1. Approval of agenda passes unanimously (9-0-0)
   E. Parliamentarian Donahue -- I just wanted to clarify what happened last week. When the censure vote occurred, the language that was used was “indefinitely”. By our Bylaws and Robert’s Rules, a censure is a formal disciplinary action so one cannot be censured indefinitely, so because that language was used, the censure was invalid and Blake should have been returned to his seat. That was an error on our part so that’s why he’s sitting up here today. If anyone has any questions, feel free to ask them.

IV. Open Forum
   A. Guest #1 -- I wanted to talk on the censure from last week. I consider myself to be a fairly involved student, I’m involved with three different clubs. I also work in the Admissions Center. I feel like I have a good idea of what’s going on on campus. I was frustrated by the lack of info available regarding the censure. I had no clue what was going on. I feel like the Daily Trojan has been suffering from a lack of information, based on the corrections. Is there a better way to keep students informed?
   B. Guest #2 -- I was disappointed. This is my second student government meeting. I know Blake and Debbie and I know how hard they work for the student body. I have to schedule times to hang out with Blake. It just didn’t make sense to me why the Senators wanted to censure him and that they were more focused on petty politics than helping the school. I was just a bit disappointed by that.

V. Reports of officers and directors
   A. Blake Ackerman, Vice President
      1. Before we begin, I’m going through some gastro issues, if I seem lethargic.
      2. Today, I’m going to go through some updates.
      3. Current Meetings and Projects
         a) Division of Student Affairs
○ Working on campus climate. Sat down with VP Carrey talking about drugging of individuals at parties, trying to connect student leaders with resources on campus.

b) Speaker Series
   ○ Monuments, symbols, free speech -- with relevant partners

c) Office of Campus wellness & Crisis Intervention
   ○ Trying to get 300 students for pilot course
   ○ Had a talk about inclusion today

d) Engemann Student Health
   ○ Wellness Vending -- coming January 2019

e) IFCxPHC
   ○ GreekEats -- delivering extra food from Greek community to St. Francis
   ○ Also working on project for inebriated students, for students who are inebriated but are not transported -- some sort of system or place to go in the background of an inactive fraternity or sorority house to be treated

f) DPS
   ○ Concerts Spring 2019 -- pushing for some dope artists

g) Joint Provost Academic Senate Task Force
   ○ Actionable items by January
   ○ Fortunate enough to attend the Anita Hill talk

h) Food Pantry
   ○ Doing a Thanksgiving Food Drive for the USG Pantry

4. #VPIlyfe
   a) Went to Camp Flog Gnaw
   b) Saw my sister a few weeks back, visiting her in winter break

5. Truckin’
   a) If you are going to be on campus, there is food available at USC Village 4-7PM, my parents will be there!
   b) Meeting with new IFCxPHC leadership
   c) Underground Trojans -- formerly incarcerated students
   d) Pre-enrollment Education

B. Shany Ebadi & Milton Dimas, Co-Chief Diversity Officers
   1. Milton’s Update
      a) Attended the California Association of Area Agencies on Aging Conference last week -- how can communities in CA support those that are age 50+?
      b) Currently trying to get hired for when I graduate in May 2019
      c) Friendsgiving at my apartment -- I know how to cook

   2. Shany’s Update
      a) Celebrated birthday brunch, got ice cream from favorite place downtown
b) Went to the Anita Hill event
c) Monitoring SoCal wildfires -- checking in with family, some have been evacuated, everyone is fine
d) Campaign recovery -- statewide, watching results come in
e) Working with nonprofit to write grants for homeless youth
f) Spending quality time

3. CDO Updates
   a) Student Equity and Inclusion Mixer -- two Fridays from this Friday
   b) First Amendment Student Pamphlet
      ○ Outlining and detailing rights for students surrounding First Amendment
   c) Continuing discussion on speaker guidelines
   d) University Wide Strategic Plans -- I sit on Provost Diversity Council
   e) Campus Climate Survey -- survey from Provost Quick, around 30-45 minutes
      ○ Data is important in proving our issues
      ○ Look for it in your email
      ○ Incentive: raffle for $100 Amazon gift card
      ○ Aiming for around 30% of undergrad student population
   f) Food Pantry -- if you can bring some goods, that would be helpful
   g) Hiring for Associate Justices -- with AJ Singh
   h) Diversity and Inclusion Week (January 14th)

VI. Presentations
   A. Will Sherman, Senator
      1. About Me
         a) I like cartography
         b) From Golden, Colorado
         c) I love the outdoors and am obsessed with Jimi Hendrix
         d) Been known to shred
      2. Life Updates
         a) Past
            ○ Became a popsicle this past weekend (went camping in 16 degree weather)
         b) Future
            ○ Getting through the semester, looking forward to break
      3. Senate Aide -- Stella Miller
         a) Sophomore majoring in business, passionate about her 3 siblings -- works at the Marshall Experiential Learning Center
         b) Passionate about sustainability, been an awesome addition to the team
      4. Project Updates
a) Professor Pay Floors
   ○ Working with Senator Savage on pay security for untenured professors
   ○ Expression of student solidarity
b) Audit initiative
   ○ Working with registrar and professors
   ○ AAA Scholarship
c) Plastic bags
d) Unofficial transcripts -- to not have to pay $10
e) Pre-enrollment
f) Airport transit -- UCLA has shuttle partnership in general

5. Fan Mail
   a) Received a fan email

B. Manda Bwerevu, Senator

1. Background Information
   a) I’m a junior studying Communications and Philosophy, Politics, and Law
   b) Speak four languages -- born and raised in many different countries

2. Personal Information
   a) Had myself a fall break -- was in hospital for 2 days
   b) Brother went to Marines -- saw him off
   c) New puppy: Lexi
   d) LSAT prep
   e) Abercrombie and Fitch -- working with that on campus
      ○ Will be at Conquest
   f) Growth Scholars
      ○ Offering scholarships in Ghana to students

3. Project Updates
   a) Tommy Watch -- you should sign up
   b) Homeless Awareness Week
   c) Diversity Bylaw Amendment -- will be presented tonight
   d) Spring Admit Fall Accessibility
   e) Black Student Enrollment
      ○ Very small -- 5% of student body
   f) VKC Name Change

C. Norman Topping Update

1. Chris McMorran, USG Representative
   a) I’ve been speaking with Michaela as well as everyone else.

2. What is the NTSAF?
   a) Created by referendum in 1970
   b) Provides scholarships and support services
c) First-generation, high financial need, underrepresented minority, from the local community
d) Student-initiated, funded, and primarily administered
e) Previously under Student affairs but moved to Undergraduate Programs

3. Current Scholarship
   a) $8 fee per semester assessed to every student
   b) 117 Undergraduate Scholarships
   c) 10 Graduate Scholarships
   d) Emphasis placed on retention
   e) Activities and programming throughout the year
      ○ Retreat, Halloween, faculty luncheons, etc.

4. What is the Governing Board?
   a) Little over 20 members
   b) Currently restructuring the entire program
   c) Rewriting the entire charter from scratch
   d) Discussing the future of the program -- is the way we're doing things the best way?
   e) Choose scholars, set budget, evaluate program

5. What am I doing?
   a) Representing USG
   b) Subcommittees
      ○ Administrative Affairs
      ○ Student Relations
   c) Pushing for student control, accountability
   d) Trying to figure everything out with a lot of listening

6. Why should USG care?
   a) You will have to approve the new charter
   b) All your constituents are paying for this

7. Questions
   a) Senator Murphy -- The last update was last year when the director was removed. What has happened since then and what is the state of that?
   b) Chris -- We're trying to restructure the whole program. The director position is on the agenda. If you have input, I'd love to hear it.
   c) Mae -- Do you know if the $8 fee goes directly to the scholarship or administration of it?
   d) Chris -- There is no official fee, but I think it's both. It goes into one big pot and that is pulled from both. There aren't a lot of records that have been kept.
   e) Emily -- There's been a lot of talk about expanding the program because we have a surplus of money each year? The impact it has
is the retention for such a vulnerable community. Even this year, at Retreat, you could visibly see differences. Is there any gameplan to keep the family part of it and also expand resources to more people? Or has that not come up yet?

f) Chris -- I would say personally I am very much aware that every dollar we hand to a student, we have to support them. I know there is support for spending more money on something, it’s just a matter of where we go with that. That’s something we will talk about.

g) Senator Murphy -- I understand given the nature, but I’m curious to know what the relationship has been like between admin and the governing board since the removal of the director? Would you be able to say, has the Topping Board been given the autonomy they’ve supposed to be afforded from their initial charter?

h) Chris -- I don’t want to claim I’m the expert on any of this, but one thing I want to push for is increased student control. I think that that has the potential to have some positives. I’m not sure we’ve seen those. I think it’ll definitely be something I’ll continue to watch carefully. It’s our money going into it. We ought to be spending it how we want to.

i) Senator Bwerevu -- I notice that there 117 undergraduate students and 10 scholarships for grad students. Why the difference?

j) Chris -- When the program started, the undergrad population was higher. I’m not sure why it changed back. They want to get people into college in the first place and then it’s easier to continue in college. We don’t necessarily want to even it out but to do what we can.

k) Senator Bwerevu -- Do you know what the timeline is?

l) Chris -- The hope is some time next semester and for it to go to the Provost by the end of the year.

m) Senator Murphy -- Would it be able to get a formal report from the Governing Board before we have to vote?

n) Chris -- Yes. You can request a report. I’d just ask you to give us a little bit of time, as we don’t meet every week.

o) Senator Murphy -- Is there a formal process?

p) Chris -- I’m not aware of a formal process. You can just ask me and then I can ask the Board.

VII. Unfinished business and general orders

A. Presentation of Programming Fee Committee Student-At-Large

1. Senator Sherman moves to approve

2. Senator Tan seconds

3. Voting

   a) Item approved unanimously (9-0-0)
VIII. New Business

A. Elections Code

1. We shared this previously and got some feedback from USG and the student community as a whole. A lot of them are dates or word changes. We'll be bouncing through them. We're just highlighting some of the portions. It would be easy for you to write down where in the code it is or just memorize it.

   a) We have a definitions section. We also added a code of conduct. This is a brand-new article we've added. We're going over chronologically these new additions.

   b) Looking at II.C.2.i -- each individual who runs has a core-five team. We're just making this official.

   c) Moving into II.F -- any complaint that we have is going to be moderated by the Elections Commission. None will be reviewed by EC after February 8th, will then go to Judicial.

   d) IV -- We talk about who can endorse. We've extended this to all of Exec. No USG member can serve as part of a core-five team. You can endorse them as an individual.

   e) We've been spending the last few months reviewing and updating this.

   f) VIII.A.2 -- any social media in a mass messaging is not allowed, but individual messaging through social media is allowed.

   g) VIII.B -- USG members not in a core-five team are still required to comply with the bylaws and might need to go to Judicial.

   h) VIII.D.5 -- no animals may be used as part of a campaign

   i) IX.A -- each student must submit a ballot on behalf of themselves. We're emphasizing this point. It is okay to educate another student. But we've had issues in the past of skewing voting.

   j) XI.A.1 -- candidates are responsible for publicizing their own endorsements, following the election team's confirmation of their endorsement.

   k) XII.B.2 -- following February 8th, all complaints will have to go through Judicial. Complaints have automatically gone to Judicial in the past.

   l) XIII -- Campaign-related activities must not occur within the USG office, but we'll be strict about it this year. No physical campaign material can enter the office. The USG office is a neutral space. Candidates may not coerce students to vote for them by offering rewards or threatening retaliation. The dissemination of slander is not allowed. Anything that is said must be backed up by evidence.

   m) XIV.D -- the written transcript will not serve as a detailed account, and will be more of a summary. The audio will be the full account.

   n) Thank you to the people who have come to us.
2. Discussion
   a) Rick -- Chief of Staff is not listed on Article IV. Is that a mistake?
   b) Emily -- Yes, that is a mistake.
   c) Guest -- Did you mean current executive officers or current elected executive officers?
   d) Emily -- Thank you for highlighting that.
   e) Guest -- As per reorganization of residential housing, building governments are no longer a thing.
   f) Emily -- Okay, we’ll do that.
   g) Guest -- Building governments are not RSO’s.
   h) Emily -- Okay.
   i) Senator Kohanteb -- Is there a difference between what Senators and what Exec can do?
   j) Emily -- You are remaining neutral during the process. We will be leaning on info sessions for you all. You will be interacting with potential candidates.
   k) Dylan -- You have the same rules of neutrality.
   l) Senator Kohanteb -- Why were FB groups added? Is GroupMe still added?
   m) Emily -- Moderators were posting in the past.
   n) Dylan -- If you want to directly reach out to individuals, you can do that. But you can’t spam for a candidate.
   o) Emily -- You are welcome to present to student organizations. GroupMe is also mass messaging.
   p) VP Ackerman -- Can you post on campaign FB page?
   q) Emily -- Not sure. We don’t have our leader here. We’ll definitely clarify the wording.
   r) Senator Crane -- If Senators have expanded neutrality, if they are in charge of clubs, how does that work with endorsements? A lot of Senators might only let certain candidates to come. I could foresee a problem where one candidate is not invited.
   s) Emily -- We’ll look into that.
   t) Tadi -- I’d assume if you are in a position where you get to choose who comes, you will not be allowed to come.
   u) Senator Kohanteb -- Am I forced to say yes to everyone or can I discriminate?
   v) Emily -- We’ll have to clarify that in the Code.
   w) Senator Sherman -- Talking about submit ballot on behalf of themselves...
   x) Emily -- There were allegations of people holding phones and pressing buttons. But you cannot physically hold it or check a box.
   y) Chris -- With the whole messaging thing, if you have two friends in a chat, is that not okay?
z) Emily -- By our definition, that is mass messaging.
aa) Parliamentarian Donahue -- It was a mass message copy-pasted last year. Is that okay?
b) Emily -- That is allowed.
cc) Senator Lane -- Are we just talking about messages that come from people individually?
d) Tadi -- As soon as the candidate finds out about it, they have to report it.
e) Dylan -- We’re all playing by the same rules. We’re trying to mitigate as many unfair advantages as possible. In the same way that non-USG members can be brought into violation hearings, so can they.
f) Mae -- What can candidates do besides talking in person and their own personal FB accounts, own personal posts? BSA can’t post on behalf of a candidate, right? BSA can if they endorsed that candidate. How do you receive an endorsement?
g) Emily -- They come speak and a vote is taken. That endorsement is then sent from the candidate to the Elections Committee.
h) Senator Crane -- I was told that assemblies last year can endorse. Is that still true?
i) Emily -- Assemblies cannot, committees cannot.
j) Dylan -- Member organizations can.
k) Senator Bwerevu -- Are they not allowed to post anything about their campaign or are they not allowed to persuade?
l) Emily -- They can’t campaign on mass messaging on FB. If there’s something in the gray area, we will decide.
m) Senator Bwerevu -- I just want to know if there is a difference between asking for votes or informing that they are running?
n) Emily -- Those would both be considered campaigning but that would be up to the discretion of the Elections Committee.
o) Parliamentarian Donahue -- How does an organization avoid mass messaging?
p) Emily -- The mass messaging was to prevent the campaign team and the candidate.
q) Tadi -- It was more about the candidate and campaign team.
r) Parliamentarian Donahue -- I know a lot of groups have private FB groups. Is that allowed if they wanted to post?
s) Senator Lane -- What does it mean to legitimize an endorsement? If the volleyball club decides to endorse, is the point of the endorsement to get them to vote for him? If they decide they want to endorse this person, is that not allowed because there’s no way to do that other than public messaging forums?
t) Emily -- We can look into that further.
uu) Mae -- I urge you to think about something where Elections is so nitpicky. I get what you’re saying and everyone’s goal is for it to be neutral and fair. In the age of all social media, there’s so many things that we say that are mass messaging. That could easily be misconstrued. I just don’t want people to feel like there’s so many nitpicky things that could go wrong.

vv) Emily -- Thank you, yeah it’s definitely tough to find that balance. That’s why we’ve had that up for a month. We could laos have been getting it for a while.

ww) Dylan -- This is why we’re doing this now. We will definitely have to change the verbage for mass messaging.

xx) Senator Geschwind -- How do spring admits run for elected positions?

yy) Emily -- It’s digital this year. It’s on OrgSync. There’s a tab on the website and everyone who’s shown interest can run. Even people who are abroad right now can run.

zz) Senator Murphy -- What is the drop-dead deadline when this Elections Code has to be passed by Senate so that this doesn’t mess up with what you’re doing?

aaa) VP Ackerman -- It’s currently canceled.

bbb) Emily -- Is there one after Thanksgiving? It will probably be at the next Senate meeting.

ccc) Dylan -- The issues we have are concerning mass messaging and endorsements.

ddd) Senator Crane -- Also, regarding Senators leading organizations and people talking to those organizations.

eee) Dylan -- It’s difficult because we’ve been sending this out for two months.

fff) Emily -- We’re going to have our edits done in the next 1-2 days.

ggg) Senator Bwerevu -- What do you mean by neutral, as a Senator? We don’t interact with any candidates but interact with all of them? Can we talk to people who want to talk to us?

hhh) Emily -- We wanted to bridge this by having lots of roundtables and info sessions.

B. Diversity Fund Oversight Board

1. Senator Murphy -- This is just talking about who will be allocating this money, whatever there is to allocate. We took out the section talking about unfunded projects to just clarify structure. In accordance with the USG Administration’s own language, this is the definition that was given to us by the Provost’s office and the definition we’ll primarily use.

2. It will be comprised of: Treasurer, CDO, representatives of cultural assemblies. The oversight board members will be decided at the beginning of the USG administration. They will vote in accordance with the funding
guidelines. To fund any application, there must be a simple majority. That way, this can fluctuate. The oversight board will make a monthly presentation to Senate detailing all decisions to fund or not fund final applications that have been presented to and decided on.

3. VP Ackerman -- Are cultural assembly directors allowed to be on the board?

4. Michaela -- If a director wanted to, they would just remove themselves from that vote so there's not a conflict of interest. The reason we didn't explicitly say this, y'all have a lot of work on their plate and we didn't want to add something more. Maybe we just add that.

5. Matt -- The only thing I'd advise putting in is how you'd advise

6. Michaela -- We put in the language at least one. In the same way that the Treasurer would assume that position, they would just do it by their own decision.

7. Senator Kohanteb -- Why did you add in that they come present to Senate every month?

8. Michaela -- We wanted it for a transparency measure without giving Senate power over this board.

9. Manda -- We would be able to take whatever we see to our constituencies.

10. Shayan -- But we can't do anything if we don't like it.

11. Senator Murphy -- There's nothing we can do on campus necessarily.

12. Truman -- Who makes the funding guidelines?

13. Michaela -- We’d get that information from the Treasurer and mirror that based on the direction given to us by the Provost when it was initially given.

14. Senator Sherman -- Will Senate be involved in approving the Diversity Fund guidelines?

15. Michaela -- We could be. If you had the opportunity to be involved in the drafting, you could.

IX. Announcements
   A. None

X. Discussion
   A. Senator Lane -- I just want to address everyone who came to Open Forum. I want to apologize for the lack of transparency on our end. We’re just trying to handle it as professionally as possible. I understand it’s your second Senate meeting. We as a Senate don’t necessarily agree on everything. The fact that what we did vote on passed almost unanimously means that we are trying to be as firm as possible on trying to uphold the standards of integrity when we were sworn in. This is about due diligence.

   B. Parliamentarian Donahue -- How the vote occurred, I talked to the Senators after. I will take the responsibility of not aggressively enough stepping in. It should have gone motion to censure, second, then debate. Everyone knew why but that was information that not everyone had. I had to cut you off for you to make that
motion. That was a fault on my part. Senator Murphy had the intent of explaining the background.

C. Alex -- I also did want to thank Senator Murphy and Senator Lane. I understand that this is a contentious issue and it’s hard to balance the code of conduct with each other and your personal relationships. You two being the only two who took public stands on the issue deserves recognition.

D. Senator Bwerevu -- I know you mentioned that we focused more on petty political issues. I’m just confused as to how hazing could be construed that way.

E. Guest #2 -- Nobody said anything, none of us really knew what was going on. It was hard to understand senatorial procedures. It just seemed like there was a lot of behind-the-scenes maneuvering.

F. Senator Bwerevu -- I’m not sure how you had access to the email. We as Senators just decided to have a united front, and we wanted to be private with that.

G. Parliamentarian Donahue -- The reason behind it was, I personally think that an explanation should have happened. I cut Senator Murphy off. I’ve been in a lot of conversation with our graduate advisors. We only deal with four documents. Hazing and other issues are outside of our realm, so those shouldn’t be discussed in this area. What happened to the censure, it was invalid so it was redacted because of the word “indefinitely”. This is how it works.

H. CDO Dimas -- I know it can be confused. I would implore you to use your resources, the Senators who are here. I would replace “petty” with “passionate”. I would implore you to schedule a one-on-one with all of the Senators.

I. Senator Geschwind -- You weren’t the only person who thought that way, that was so confused.

J. Senator Lane -- We didn’t even know what it meant before that day. For anyone who is confused, I hope it can be appreciated that the sentiment behind what happened, we received an email over the weekend. Our Vice President is still in office, no rash actions are being taken, he is just as entitled to his position. We’ll try to be more transparent, just so that everyone can be dignified.

K. Mae -- Tomorrow, there will be a meeting at 7pm about high school leadership. Look for location details tomorrow. We are trying to be focused on giving back and we wanted to represent underrepresented groups on campus. We are looking for input, love, support, so please come through if you’re interested or hit me up through any media you might like if you have further questions.

L. Senator Geschwind -- So are we not having a meeting next week?

M. VP Ackerman -- The meeting was cancelled next week.

N. Senator Geschwind -- So you plan on having the Elections Code come before us again in two weeks?

O. VP Ackerman -- Yes, it will be presented as Old Business.

P. Senator Geschwind -- Okay, because I know there were a lot of question marks about that and that not being okay, and I don’t think that’s okay either, for it to come up so close as Old Business so close to the November 30th deadline. We were trying to see, there might be a chance of a quorum next week.
Q. Senator Kohanteb -- If we have Senate next week, then great, we can bring it up then, just because after seeing Elections, the document needs a little bit of work. Just a lot of head-nodding said that maybe we should break Robert’s Rules this week. Hopefully, we’ll have it next week. If not, it’ll come up the week after, we have no choice.

R. Senator Geschwind -- I understand that. I just think we’re doing a disservice to the candidates.

S. Parliamentarian Donahue -- Didn’t they say they would potentially push back the deadline if they didn’t have it?

T. Dylan -- We haven’t made a final decision yet. I would highly request upon Senate, for now, please read through the entire code. We’ve had it out for over two months. Again, this meeting was important. There were some holes in the code and that’s because we’ve had approximately 5 people total review it. So please do that. We can come back with a date and come back with an official announcement.

U. VP Ackerman -- I wanted to just respond to what you said end of the discussion about the discussion of the censure. I’m obviously fairly invested, but if this had happened to any other elected officer, to any other officer in USG, I appreciate the rigor and the passion that you all had to do your due diligence. However, when you said that students should be investigating this process, I wholeheartedly disagree. As Emily stated, this is a process that, when USG receives any type of complaint, informal or formal, we are dictated by the procedures and guidelines we have, which are the four documents she stated correctly -- the Elections Code, the Bylaws, the Constitution, and our Code of Ethics. Everything else isn’t really in our purview. Had I received them, I would have also been just as passionate about reviewing those comments and look to rectify the situation. I just don’t think a student-led investigation can or should ever be the final decision-making process to serving the student body.

V. Senator Lane -- Because an email was addressed to us, I’m saying we should figure out our role.

W. VP Ackerman -- There’s procedure for that. It’s in the Bylaws, Emily did a great job of explaining that to y’all in an email that I was CC’d on. I think it’s Article XIII of the Bylaws. What I heard from so many folks was that that was the confusing part -- is there procedure for it? And the answer is yes, there is procedure -- it’s in our Bylaws. Take that as you will.

XI. Adjournment
   A. Senator Sherman moves to adjourn the meeting
   B. Senator Kohanteb seconds
   C. VP Ackerman adjourns the meeting at 8:49 PM